

## Message Text

SECRET

PAGE 01 BERLIN 01694 251740Z

64

ACTION EUR-10

INFO OCT-01 SS-14 ISO-00 NSC-07 NSCE-00 CIAE-00 INR-11

NSAE-00 RSC-01 PM-03 DODE-00 SAJ-01 PRS-01 L-02 SP-02

IO-03 ACDA-10 DRC-01 /067 W

----- 013785

R 251645Z SEP 74

FM USMISSION BERLIN

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 4047

INFO AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MOSCOW

AMEMBASSY PARIS

S E C R E T BERLIN 1694

LIMDIS

E.O. 11652: GDS

TAGS: PFOR, WB, GW, GE

SUBJECT: STILL MORE ON PRESENT FRG-GDR RELATIONSHIP

REF: (A) BERLIN 1680 (B) BONN 15121

1. AT A MEETING I HAD WITH SENATOR FOR FEDERAL AFFAIRS STOBBE  
ON SEPTEMBER 24, THE LATTER INDICATED THAT THE FRG-GDR PACKAGE  
WAS ESSENTIALLY SET AND THAT THE BERLIN SENAT HAD NO REAL  
CHOICE BUT TO ACCEPT IT. THE GENERAL THRUST OF HIS REMARKS  
TENDED TO SUPPORT THE CONCLUSION CONTAINED IN PARAGRAPH 7,  
BONN'S 15121.

2. IN EXPLAINING THE RATIONALE FOR THE PACKAGE, STOBBE NOTED  
THAT THE ENTIRE DEAL HAD TO BE CONSIDERED AS A FACTOR OF  
FRG/SOVIET ARRANGEMENTS. IN THIS CONNECTION, HE EXPLAINED THAT  
THE GERMANS AND THE SOVIETS WERE ALMOST FULLY AGREED ON A NUCLEAR  
POWER PLANT AND POWER DISTRIBUTION ARRANGEMENT. THIS INCLUDED  
A POWER NETWORK FROM KALININGRAD THROUGH WEST BERLIN TO A  
POINT IN WEST GERMANY NEAR HANOVER. THE SOVIETS, ACCORDING TO  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 02 BERLIN 01694 251740Z

STOBBE, WOULD CONTROL THE LINES UP TO THE WEST BERLIN BOUNDARY

AND THEN WHEN IT CROSSED OVER AGAIN INTO THE GDR, AND ON TO THE GDR/FRG FRONTIER. IN WEST BERLIN, THE LINES WOULD BE UNDER GERMAN CONTROL. THIS WOULD GIVE THE WEST BERLINERS BETTER ASSURANCE OF CONTINUING POWER. IF SOVIETS WERE EVER TO CURTAIL THE SUPPLY, THE INSTALLED LINES COULD STILL BE USED TO TRANSMIT POWER FROM THE FRG (TO WEST BERLIN)--ASSUMING, OF COURSE, IT WAS SOVIET INTENTION TO PERMIT THE SUPPLY TO CONTINUE. THE PRESENT SCHEDULE, STOBBE SAID, CALLS FOR FRG ECONOMICS MINISTER FRIDERICHSEN TO GO TO MOSCOW PRIOR TO THE SCHMIDT VISIT TO COMPLETE THE POWER ARRANGEMENTS (INCLUDING COSTS AND PRICES) SO THAT SCHMIDT AND BREZHNEV WILL BE ABLE TO SIGN A FINAL DOCUMENT DURING THE CHANCELLOR'S VISIT TO THE SOVIET UNION AT THE END OF OCTOBER.

3. ALL THIS, STOBBE WENT ON TO EXPLAIN, IN FACT ELIMINATED THE GDR AS A MEANINGFUL NEGOTIATING PARTNER ON THE QUESTION OF POWER AND REDUCED THE DIMENSIONS OF AN FRG/GDR BARGAIN TO SUCH NUTS AND BOLTS ITEMS AS REPORTED IN THE TWO REFERENCED MESSAGES.

4. STOBBE ALSO SPENT CONSIDERABLE TIME DISCUSSING THE LONG-STANDING TELTOW CANAL PROJECT (TO WHICH GDR IN PAST NOT REPEAT NOT PARTICULARLY FORTHCOMING) WHICH HE SAID WAS OF GREATER INTEREST TO WEST BERLIN THAN THE GDR-ALTHOUGH THE MONIES THAT WOULD ACCRUE TO THE GDR WOULD NOT BE INSUBSTANTIAL. HE SAID THAT IT WAS ENTIRELY POSSIBLE THAT THIS ARRANGEMENT WOULD BE NEGOTIATED BY THE TWO GERMAN GOVERNMENTS AND WONDERED WHETHER THE ALLIES WOULD OBJECT TO SUCH AN ARRANGEMENT OR WOULD THEY PREFER A SENT/GDR NEGOTIATION; (I TOLD STOBBE THAT PERSONALLY I COULD SEE NO BASIS FOR ALLIED OBJECTIONS. INDEED, SUCH A NEGOTIATION WOULD BE CONSISTENT WITH POSITION WE HAVE TAKEN CONSISTENTLY ON QUESTION OF TIES. HOWEVER, I WOULD HAVE TO CHECK THIS MATTER OUT CAREFULLY BEFORE I COULD GIVE HIM A FINAL ANSWER.)

5. IN CONNECTION WITH THE PROPOSED HAMBURG-BERLIN AUTOBAHN, STOBBE SAID THAT PROJECT WAS OF GREATER INTEREST TO THE GDR THAN THE FRG, BUT HE WAS CONVINCED THAT IT WAS A LEGITIMATE BARGAINING ISSUE.

6. CLEARLY THEN, A FRG/GDR BARGAIN HAS BEEN STRUCK. ITS CON-  
SECRET

SECRET

PAGE 03 BERLIN 01694 251740Z

TENTS ARE ESSENTIALLY AS DESCRIBED IN REFERENCES (A) AND (B). SOME OF THE DETAILS NOW ARE BEING IRONED OUT BY GAUS (FRG MISSION HEAD IN EAST BERLIN) AND NIER, HIS OPPOSITE NUMBER IN GDR FOREIGN OFFICE. IF I UNDERSTOOD STOBBE CORRECTLY, PUBLIC ANNOUNCEMENT OF THE RESULTS OF THESE NEGOTIATIONS IS EXPECTED EVEN BEFORE THE SCHMIDT TRIP TO MOSCOW. KLEIN

SECRET

NNN

## Message Attributes

**Automatic Decaptoning:** Z  
**Capture Date:** 01 JAN 1994  
**Channel Indicators:** n/a  
**Current Classification:** UNCLASSIFIED  
**Concepts:** AGREEMENTS, NUCLEAR ENERGY, INTERGOVERNMENTAL COOPERATION, NUCLEAR POWER PLANTS  
**Control Number:** n/a  
**Copy:** SINGLE  
**Draft Date:** 25 SEP 1974  
**Decaption Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Decaption Note:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Action:** RELEASED  
**Disposition Approved on Date:**  
**Disposition Authority:** izenbei0  
**Disposition Case Number:** n/a  
**Disposition Comment:** 25 YEAR REVIEW  
**Disposition Date:** 28 MAY 2004  
**Disposition Event:**  
**Disposition History:** n/a  
**Disposition Reason:**  
**Disposition Remarks:**  
**Document Number:** 1974BERLIN01694  
**Document Source:** CORE  
**Document Unique ID:** 00  
**Drafter:** n/a  
**Enclosure:** n/a  
**Executive Order:** GS  
**Errors:** N/A  
**Film Number:** D740270-0674  
**From:** BERLIN  
**Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Image Path:**  
**ISecure:** 1  
**Legacy Key:** link1974/newtext/t19740917/aaaaanqd.tel  
**Line Count:** 113  
**Locator:** TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM  
**Office:** ACTION EUR  
**Original Classification:** SECRET  
**Original Handling Restrictions:** LIMDIS  
**Original Previous Classification:** n/a  
**Original Previous Handling Restrictions:** n/a  
**Page Count:** 3  
**Previous Channel Indicators:**  
**Previous Classification:** SECRET  
**Previous Handling Restrictions:** LIMDIS  
**Reference:** (A) BERLIN 1680 (B) BONN 15121  
**Review Action:** RELEASED, APPROVED  
**Review Authority:** izenbei0  
**Review Comment:** n/a  
**Review Content Flags:**  
**Review Date:** 02 MAY 2002  
**Review Event:**  
**Review Exemptions:** n/a  
**Review History:** RELEASED <02 MAY 2002 by ifshinsr>; APPROVED <11 MAR 2003 by izenbei0>  
**Review Markings:**

Declassified/Released  
US Department of State  
EO Systematic Review  
30 JUN 2005

**Review Media Identifier:**  
**Review Referrals:** n/a  
**Review Release Date:** n/a  
**Review Release Event:** n/a  
**Review Transfer Date:**  
**Review Withdrawn Fields:** n/a  
**Secure:** OPEN  
**Status:** NATIVE  
**Subject:** STILL MORE ON PRESENT FRG-GDR RELATIONSHIP  
**TAGS:** PFOR, WB, GE, GC  
**To:** STATE  
**Type:** TE  
**Markings:** Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 30 JUN 2005